

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,299	BAHNS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric Levinson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to place the case in condition for allowance, to Claim 1 to make it clear that the depositing step is a part of creating the check disk, to Claim 2 to make it clear that the check disk is formed directly from the master and prior to creating a first generation stamper and cancelling Claim 4 which is unclear. .